# MINUTES OF MEETING STOREY PARK COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Storey Park Community Development District was held on Tuesday, May 7, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. at the Offices of GMS-CF, 219 E. Livingston Street, Orlando, Florida.

## Present and constituting a quorum were:

Dan La Rosa	Chairman
Teresa Diaz	Vice Chair

Mike McQuarrieAssistant SecretaryWillem Boermans via phoneAssistant SecretaryRicardo Garcia via phoneAssistant Secretary

## Also present were:

Jason Showe	District Manager
Kristen Trucco	District Counsel
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager

## FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

## Roll Call

Mr. Showe called the meeting to order and called the roll. A quorum was present.

### SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### **Public Comment Period**

Mr. Showe: We will note for purposes of our recording, that we only have members of the Board and staff present.

## THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of the February 6, 2024 Meeting

Mr. Showe: With that, we can go to the approval of minutes of the February 6, 2024 meeting. We can take any corrections or changes at this time or seek a motion to approve.

On MOTION by Mr. LaRosa seconded by Mr. McQuarrie with all in favor the Minutes of the February 6, 2024 Meeting were approved as presented.

#### FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2024-02 Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2025 Budget and Setting a Public Hearing

Mr. Showe: Next we have Resolution 2024-02, Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2025 Budget and setting a Public Hearing. The resolution does several things for the Board. It starts with approving a Proposed Budget, which will be attached as Exhibit A. We provided that to you in draft form and we can make any changes that the Board would like today. We also set a public hearing for August 6, 2024, which is your regular August meeting at this location at 4:00 p.m. It also directs us to transmit this to all the governments, at least 60 days in advance, as well as posted on our website, which is all in accordance with Florida Statutes. As far as your budget, we were able to successfully not have any assessment increases proposed this year. So, we're happy that the financials worked out that way. On your Admin side, it's very level, just some small contract adjustments, as well as some other costs. On the Field side, it's very similar. We increased all of your utilities about 10% over projected. We typically see those utility costs go up every year. We looked at your landscaper and added some contingency in case that contract comes in a little higher. Again, we're seeing that throughout the industry. Other than that, it's very much similar to the prior budget. We're still able to transfer about \$150,000 into Capital Projects. Starting on Page 2, we have the assessment tables for all of the different neighborhoods. Again, you'll see there's no increase. Starting on Page 4, we provide a full lineitem detail of all those account lines. Where there's contracts and accounts, we try to provide those as well, so that the residents have as much clarity as possible, on how we reached those budget figures. On Page 10, you'll see your capital projects. The last capital project we have for the year that we have budgeted right now, is completing the Parcel K signage. So, that's already calculated in there as part of your projection. But we anticipate at the end of next year, you will have approximately \$500,000 in your Capital Reserve. So, we feel pretty good about that. Then behind that, you have all of your debt service. There's no real action or changes that the Board can make on that debt service. They're all kind of bound by those individual bond issues, but they are there for informational purposes for residents. With that, we can open it up for any questions of the Board or take a motion to approve the resolution as presented.

On MOTION by Mr. LaRosa seconded by Ms. Diaz with all in favor Resolution 2023-05 Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2025 Budget and Setting a Public Hearing for August 6, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. at this location was adopted.

Mr. Showe: We'll also note, I know some of the folks on the phone and it's their first time through the budget process. Approval today doesn't lock you into any of these account numbers. Essentially, what you're doing, is saying that you're not going to raise assessments this year, but we'll still continue to look at these account lines as we proceed through the year in advance of your August meeting. So, we might make some small adjustments throughout, but it doesn't lock you into anything specifically.

### FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2024-03 Authorizing a Petition to be Filed to Contract the Boundaries of the District Approving the Execution of a Funding Agreement - ADDED

Mr. Showe: We added Resolution 2024-03, dealing with contraction of the budget and we'll let District Counsel run through that.

Ms. Trucco: Good afternoon. Jay has a conflict this afternoon, so I'm here in his place. We were contacted, a couple weeks ago from Lennar, advising us that Moss Park Properties LLLP requested that the CDD file a petition to contract a portion of their parcel that is currently within the CDD's boundary, out of the CDD's boundary. It's our understanding that has always been the intent and understanding of Lennar and other parties that they would eventually contract out of the CDD. So, they asked us to proceed with a petition to amend the ordinance that established the CDD, to contract the boundary, to take out this portion of their property, that's currently within the CDD. So, if you go to Page 4 of the resolution, you'll see a map. Where the red circle is, if you trace that line around the red circle, that's the parcel. We've been advised that it will just be the upland area only that would be contracted out and the wetland system will stay within the CDD. We also contacted the CDD's Engineer to see if there's future development on this upland parcel and if they would need to utilize the CDD stormwater system or any of the roadways within the CDD's boundary. Because if they do, what we would do in this instance and what we do in every instance, is to ask that property owner, if they're contracted from the CDD, to enter into a Cost Sharing Agreement with the CDD, so that they're contributing to the maintenance of those systems that they're receiving a benefit from. As of now, the District

Engineer confirmed that won't be the case. It will never be connected to the CDD stormwater system and there are no roadway connections, for that upland piece or anything on that parcel. Therefore, we are comfortable moving forward with the petition. Obviously, we need to bring it back to the CDD, because the CDD that would be filing the petition, but the property owner, Moss Park Properties, agreed to pay for the cost for the CDD to contract that piece, which is via the Funding Agreement that's also attached to the resolution. So, if you're not familiar with it, we would have to go back to the jurisdiction that created the CDD, which is the City of Orlando. We filed a petition with them and then they have the right to request two hearings. Generally, there's one person at the hearing, a public comment period, etc., but as part of that petition process, we will be drafting that. We'll be conducting our own due diligence and so will your CDD engineer, which is Ms. Christine Baxter. She'll be creating the majority of those exhibits. At any moment, they notify us or notice themselves that some part of that property, does benefit from the stormwater system or is connected in some way. We can advise Moss Park Properties, that they will have to enter into some type of Cost Sharing Agreement with the CDD. So, there's a benefit for us interacting with the city for this contraction petition. Moss Park Properties under the Statute, have the right to go and file their own petition, but the benefit of having the CDD do that, is that we're involved in those exhibits and the creation of those exhibits. It's our team that's looking through to confirm that there are no impacts, etc.

Mr. McQuarrie: Can I ask a couple questions?

Ms. Trucco: Absolutely.

Mr. McQuarrie: Prior to the effectuation of this going into place, do we have a better sketch in legal than this red circle?

Ms. Trucco: Yes.

Mr. McQuarrie: I'm curious about this boundary on the east side because that's where we have the Boardwalk that comes out of Parcel K2.

Mr. LaRosa: Right.

Ms. Trucco: Actually, Nicolle Van Valkenburg at Poulos & Bennett, was emailing back and forth on an email chain with some of our folks and she had said that Parcel G also has a Boardwalk to Parcel R. The upland piece of Parcel R is what we would be contracting, according to Ms. Van Valkenburg, but Parcel G is outside the CDD boundary, so she felt that it wouldn't be impacted on that.

Mr. La Rosa: Okay. Does our standard Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Budget include this area, currently?

Ms. Trucco: Great question. Now that is not an accessible piece. Parcel R is not being assessed. It is a vacant piece of land, so they are not contributing to O&M.

Mr. Showe: They're not contributing to O&M and we don't do any maintenance there either.

Mr. La Rosa: Okay.

Mr. Showe: So, we feel comfortable on both ends of it.

Ms. Trucco: She also had checked whether the open space recreation requirements, affiliated with the development, was impacted at all and she did not believe so. So, today what we would be looking for, is a motion to approve this petition moving forward. Obviously, if some red flag is discovered, then we're going to halt that. But it would be, obviously subject to District staff signing off, including the District engineering team, to confirm.

Mr. La Rosa: Okay, so this is, this is essentially permission to move forward with exploratory, to get the process going forward, so that we can understand any and all potential impacts of the CDD, the boundaries, the open space commitments and then at some point in the future, we'll come back together as a Board and we'll look at all of that.

Ms. Trucco: Actually, this is going to approve the petition moving forward, but if there's some red flag in there, we would bring it back to the Board and explain what we are seeing. But as part of the petition filing process, you have to have a legal description of the exact location. There is going to have to be a sketch and legal of that piece. We want to have Moss Park enter into a Funding Agreement, so if we're going to expend our professional time on this project, they're paying for that.

Mr. La Rosa: So, notwithstanding any negative impact to the CDD, we are agreeing to move forward with this.

Ms. Trucco: Right. It's our duty, our job and our liability. If there's an issue, we're not going to move forward with it or if we think that there's an opportunity for cost sharing or if that's the fair thing to do, the CDD should enter into a Cost Sharing Agreement with Moss Park Properties, because they're receiving benefit in some way, then we'll certainly pursue that as well. That would come back to the Board, too.

Mr. McQuarrie: Is this the only parcel that suffers from a similar situation?

Ms. Trucco: This is the only one, and we were advised that they were interested in, the upland portion of Parcel R and it does not include the wetland.

Mr. Garcia: I'm sorry for interrupting, but I have a quick question. I don't see a map in the agenda.

Mr. Showe: We just received this yesterday, so it was just added overnight.

Mr. Garcia: Okay.

Mr. Showe: But we can certainly circulate that out to the Board.

Mr. Boermans: Yeah, I had the same question.

Mr. Showe: Yeah.

Ms. Trucco: Okay. We can get you a copy of this, but we can put a note, too, to bring back the actual legal descriptions, if you approve this today and you want to see the actual legal descriptions.

Mr. La Rosa: I do. I would like to see the legal descriptions at the next meeting. So, we're saying that only the upland area and that circle is incorrect, because it's obviously including more than the upland area, but it's given us a general idea of the piece that they're talking about.

Ms. Trucco: Yes, that's my understanding. It's a piece of Parcel R, which is the larger perimeter around and not the perimeter around the green and blue.

Mr. La Rosa: The dash blue line.

Ms. Trucco: Right, exactly. The upland piece of that.

Mr. La Rosa: So, the CDD currently includes all of the green thatch, everything. There's a green thatch here, that's all CDD?

Ms. Trucco: No. My understanding is that is a parcel that's owned by Moss Park Properties. I don't think that this key is necessarily accurate.

Mr. Showe: No, the hash is not owned by us. What's owned by us is that dark green, the upland area and the pond.

Mr. La Rosa: Okay.

Mr. Scheerer: There's actually a track that goes around the whole edge of that.

Mr. Showe: That's not even our pond.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah. That's not our pond.

Mr. Showe: Just the dark green was, I think, originally intended to be part of a CDD park. I think that's the part they're looking to contract.

Mr. LaRosa: Okay, so then with that understanding, as long as there's no open space commitments or anything that would negatively impact us, then I would make a motion to approve it.

On MOTION by Mr. La Rosa seconded by Ms. Diaz with all in favor Resolution 2024-03 Authorizing a Petition to be Filed to Contract the Boundaries of the District Approving the Execution of a Funding Agreement was approved.

Ms. Trucco: I'm also going to write a note here that as soon as that legal and sketch is done, to bring it back to the Board.

Mr. Showe: We can leave this as just an action item that we'll set on the agenda, just kind of like we do with the conveyances and everything else. We'll just leave it as kind of a ticket item, so that you can come back every month until we're all done.

Ms. Trucco: Obviously we're not going to move forward with this until that Funding Agreement is signed by Moss Park Properties.

#### SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

## **Staff Reports**

### A. Attorney

Ms. Trucco: The only other thing that we're working on, there was a request from Lennar to look at some tracks that were to be owned by Lennar. But they've determined that they should be owned by the CDD moving forward, so we're doing some due diligence right now with your District Engineer, to confirm what infrastructure is on there and that she also doesn't have an objection. She's going to sign this and there's certificate as part of that conveyance. Also, as part of our due diligence, we run title work. So, we're doing that right now in the background and then we'll bring a formal resolution back to the Board for your review and approval once we finish just our preliminary kind of diligence items on it.

## i. Annual Reminder on Florida Laws for Public Officials

Ms. Trucco stated the only other item is the Memorandum that we included in your agenda package, as annual reminders to the Board on Florida Laws that apply to public officials. As CDD Supervisors, you are considered a local public official in the State of Florida. I'll just quickly go through this. Nothing happened in this CDD. It is just a refresher reminder that some Supervisors requested. The first section is the Code of Ethics. This is just a reminder about the

7

Gifts Law. You as a Supervisor, are prohibited from accepting or asking for anything of value, based upon the understanding that it was given to you in order influence your official decision making on this Board. For example, if Greenery Landscaping, is trying to get the contract for the CDD and they give you a car, you're not going to be able to accept that, if it's being given to you in order to influence your decision making as part of a Supervisor on this Board. This applies also to your spouse or minor children. So, if your spouse or minor child knows or should know that something is being given to him or her, based on an understanding that it would influence your decision making on this Board, they're also not permitted to accept it. Those cover the gifts that are given to you in order to influence your official decision making. So, we get the question, "Well, can I accept a gift that's not being given to me in order to influence my decision making?" The answer is yes; however, there is a disclosure duty. So, if you're given a gift with a value of more than \$100, unless it was given to you by a relative, you have to disclose that gift on Form 9 within 90 days of receiving that gift or you have to pay down the value of that gift so that the value is less than \$100 within 90 days of receiving it. Just moving on to the next section, we have the voting conflicts, which has to do with the fact that you can't vote on any measure, which would result in a special private gain or loss to yourself or to a principal by whom you are retained. So, that generally means your employer. However, of course, there is a statutory exception for individual Supervisors that are affiliated with the original landowner. So, in this instance, it would be Lennar. That's why you're able to approve requisitions and things like that. The Legislature thought about that and they built in an exception for Supervisors that are retained by the original landowner, which was typically the developer. That applies a parent organization or subsidiary of a corporate principal of your employer. That also applies to your relatives. So, if you have a spouse or a child, brother or parent that owns Greenery Landscaping, for example, and they submit a proposal for the contract, you're going to have to abstain from voting, because you have a voting conflict. If you voted on that, it could result in a loss or gain to your relatives. You have to abstain. This also applies to business associates. So, what we look at here is, do you have a common commercial, entrepreneurial business pursuit with another person for a profit and is that pursuit current and ongoing? If the answer is yes, say you're trying to rent out apartment complex with a friend of yours who also owns Greenery Landscaping. If it's for profit, and you are trying to rent out that apartment complex, that person would be considered your business associate. So, if they also own Greenery Landscaping and they submit a proposal,

you're going to have to abstain from voting on that because you have a voting conflict. There's a disclosure requirement for voting conflicts, so if you feel that you do have one, let us know as soon as you know and we'll give you the form that you fill out and file, which is Ethics Form 8B. You have to do that within 15 days of the vote occurring. However, you can still discuss the item, if you do have a voting conflict, but the law distinguishes between appointed and elected Supervisors. So, again, let us know. It's best that we can walk you through the process for disclosing your conflict. If you feel that you have one, you can discuss it, but we do warn against the misuse of the Public Position Statute that's on the prior page, which basically means you can never corruptly use your position in order to get a special gain for yourself. So, if you're doing anything corruptly, you could be in violation of that Statute. An example of that, would be accepting a bribe to vote for Greenery Landscaping's proposal or something like that. Then the last thing that we're going to touch on, is quorum and Sunshine Law requirements. You know that you must have a majority in order to have a physical quorum. If you are calling in, you can do so, but you should only be calling in due to an extraordinary circumstance such as illness or a medical emergency or something like that. If you do participate by telephone, you know that you have to vote on every measure unless you have a voting conflict, just like if you were physically present. As far as the Sunshine Law, you are prohibited from discussing any item upon which foreseeable action will be taken by this Board outside of one of these meetings, with another Supervisor. You can talk to your neighbor, your spouse, your kids, anybody, but you can't talk about CDD business or things that would foreseeably come before this Board with another Supervisor outside of one of these noticed meetings. That includes virtual discussions, text messages, emails, Facebook posts, you name it. You want to avoid discussing CDD business on those, in those contexts.

Mr. La Rosa: And there's no exception for the original developers?

Ms. Trucco: Not for the Sunshine Law. That's a good question. The Sunshine Law is going to apply to Supervisors, regardless of whether they're affiliated or not. There was an Attorney General opinion that came out recently that said, even posting something in a public forum, like a blog or Facebook, that's public, that could be viewed as a Sunshine Law violation, because another Supervisor could read it outside of one of these meetings and get your take on a CDD business item, which could be construed as communicating with another Supervisor outside of one of these meetings. So, be mindful about that, too. If you want to talk about CDD

stuff, we recommend that you do it here, so that you're not violating the Sunshine Law. Then the other part of that, with respect to posting online about things, is the public records requirement. That applies to all of you. So, if you posted something online, like on Facebook and you did make it public and you're not friends with any of the Supervisors, one of the concerns, is that are you able to retain it for the required period of time under the Public Records Law. Because Facebook or whatever website you're posting on, they could delete it or somebody could delete your comment. So, there's also a public record consideration, too. That's why our recommendation is not to post about CDD business online or things that could come foreseeably come before the Board. So, for the Public Records Law, you have to hold on to any materials that were made or received in connection with official business of the CDD. That applies to text messages, emails, documents, sound recordings, maps, books, everything you can think of. There's a required period of time that you have to hold onto them. Those time periods are set forth by the Division of Library Information Services by the Florida Department of State. There is a URL at the end of the Memorandum that you could click on, which will take you to the site. There's a chart, where every single document you could ever think of, is listed. Then it says how long you have to retain it for and how you're supposed to dispose of it. So, our recommendation is a best practice, which is to have a separate email account or a separate folder on your computer that you just plop anything that you receive or send in connection with the CDD into. That way, if you get a public records request, you have it handy there. You can also send it to GMS, as the CDD's public record custodian. They can hold on to it. You just don't have to deal with it. There are exceptions to public records requests. They're limited. For example, the materials related to security, fire safety of facilities of the CDD, that's an exemption. You can't just get a public records request for something that has the security guards hours or something like that, for obvious reasons. We're grateful that that's an exception there. Then materials related to active criminal investigations, is also an exception to the Public Records Law. So, again, I know there's a lot of information, but if you have a chance to read through it, I encourage you to do it. If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to us and we're happy to help. That's all I have for you today, unless you have any questions for me.

### B. Engineer

- i. Discussion of Pending Real Property Conveyances
- ii. Status of Pending Permit Transfers

Mr. Showe: Is the Engineer on the phone? Alright. Hearing none, I'm not aware of any ongoing updates for the Engineer.

## C. District Manager's Report

### i. Approval of Check Register

Mr. Showe: We can proceed to the approval of the Check Register. In your General Fund, we have Checks #1230 through #11273 for \$1,496,917.60 and February payroll for a grand total of \$738.80. We will note on your General Fund that about \$950,000 of that is a transfer from the General Fund to pay your debt service. Then we also established your State Board of Administration Account (SBA) account, which was the \$300,000. So, it's really only about \$200,000, which are typical expenses. The summary of those invoices follows that. Both Alan and I can answer any questions, if the Board has any or we can take a motion to approve the Check Register.

On MOTION by Mr. McQuarrie seconded by Ms. Diaz with all in favor the January 30, 2024 through April 30, 2024 Check Register in the amount of \$1,496,917.60 was approved.

#### ii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Mr. Showe: Behind that, is your Balance Sheet and Income Statement. No action is required by the Board. We will note that in your General Fund, we are still performing better than budget actual. So, we're in great shape there and we are at 97% collected on our assessments through April, so we're in good shape on all of that.

### iii. Presentation of Number of Registered Voters -2,279

## iv. General Elections Qualifying Period & Procedure

Mr. Showe: We are required annually to announce the number of registered voters within the District. As of April 15<sup>th</sup>, there are 2,279 registered voters in Storey Park. That is an increase of about 200 from last year. The reason that's important, is we've already passed the threshold to trigger into having qualified elections. So, we will note that this year there will be two seats up for election, Mike's seat as well as Theresa's seat. Your terms end in November and they will have to be filled by a qualified elector, which is someone who is a US citizen, that live in the District and be registered to vote in the District. This sheet here kind of gives you all the information, if someone is interested in running for the Board and how they would go about that. The qualifying period is from noon on June 10<sup>th</sup> through noon on June 14<sup>th</sup>. You have to

complete all of the forms that are listed here. You either have to pay a \$25 fee or turn in 25 signatures. If anyone wants to go through the signature route, which most do not, those are due on Monday, because they have to have time to qualify those signatures. Again, there's really no action required by the Board on that, but I can answer any questions that the Board might have.

Mr. La Rosa: How is the public noticed and how do we get that out to the public? We kind of rely on any folks that are on the Board or interested in serving the Board. We can share that information with them.

Mr. Showe: Okay. We certainly send it to the HOA.

Mr. La Rosa: I'm just wondering. It's a large community, I would imagine there has to be some people that are interested that may not know about it.

Mr. Showe: Yeah. We can have the HOA distribute the qualification information.

Mr. Garcia: I think that's a great idea.

Mr. Showe: We'll get that done. That's all that I have.

### v. Field Manager's Report

Mr. Showe: We can have Alan go through any field.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, I really do not have a lot of updates. We do have a damaged stormwater weir in Pond J1. So, we're in the process of getting quotes. The concrete has just totally started to collapse around the bleed down pipe. All Terrain Tractor was out there and they're sending me a quote. We also had some erosion around another area along Storey Park Boulevard. I don't have the exact pond number. So, we're looking at that just to see what the damages are and what it's going to cost to fix those. I doubt very much there's any warranty left on any of that work as J1 was probably one of the first or second neighborhoods done. But we'll go ahead and pursue that. We'll get a couple of quotes for that and bring that back. The two dog parks over in Parcel K, are beautiful dog parks, but the gates weren't big enough to get the mows in, the mow crew stuff in. So, I added a black aluminum gate and it's big enough that they can get the tractor in there. Other than that, they have to bush mow it. So, we've done that and we're gearing up. Obviously with the hot weather, you're going to be seeing some algae popping up in some of the stormwater ponds from time to time. Our little piggies are back. They're rooting up in and around the community, which will continue as long as construction is going on around Storey Park. So, we'll work through that as best we can. Other than that, I think the property is looking good. We are challenged with no rain right now, so you may see some drought stress

from time to time. We can only run the irrigation so much each week and we're trying to comply with that. We kind of try to get around that with maybe an extra wet check in between. So, we can get an extra day of watering in, while the guys are trying to hit some of these hotspots. Other than that, that's all I have, unless you have any questions for me.

Ms. Diaz: What about the email that you send to me about the area in K3 that you needed to be graded?

Mr. McQuarrie: The north side of K3.

Ms. Diaz: The utilities.

Mr. Scheerer: Was that the area between the homes?

Ms. Diaz: No, only the utilities.

Mr. Scheerer: Oh, there is a track that's has utilities in it. My understanding was that once all construction was completed, you guys were going to clean that all up so we could maintain it or is it meant to look the way it is now? Because on the property next to it, they mow all of that, but that's on the Meridian Park side, but on our side, nothing's been done with that.

Mr. McQuarrie: We've already graded it one time and we seeded it one time, but I need to look at it again. I think its ongoing since we completed all of those homes that were along that, because they were not completed when we did that.

Mr. Scheerer: Right.

Mr. McQuarrie: I think they pushed some of the dirt back and it just kind of messed up that whole burn area there. Teresa, you're going out, but see if you can put it on Zach's list.

Ms. Diaz: Yes, I've already went out there.

Mr. McQuarrie: Your guys can go in there and just grade that off.

Ms. Diaz: Yeah.

Mr. McQuarrie: The weather forecast for the next 10 days is for rain almost every day, starting with today. So, I'm hoping that we can seed it and mulch it, instead of sodding that entire bad.

Mr. Scheerer: There is some construction material that's been left back in there. There are some berms.

Mr. McQuarrie: They just need to smooth out the bank, clean up all the construction material, make it look real nice and then we'll decide how we want to stabilize it, whether we

want to throw Bahia or just put a nice seed on it and if we start getting some rain, it will come back.

Mr. Scheerer: Yeah, absolutely.

Ms. Diaz: That would be perfect.

Mr. McQuarrie: So, if Zach is still working on that, I will take care of that as Lennar.

Mr. Scheerer: Okay.

Mr. McQuarrie: I'll get that done. So, as long as Zach knows.

Mr. Scheerer: Give me Zach's information.

Ms. Diaz: I'll remind Zack tomorrow.

Mr. Scheerer: Thanks for refreshing my memory.

Mr. McQuarrie: Yeah.

Mr. Scheerer: Nine months along and you still got it.

## SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

## **Public Comment Period**

There being no comments, the next item followed.

#### EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

### Supervisor's Requests

Mr. Showe: Are there any Supervisor's Requests?

Mr. Boermans: I had one thing.

Mr. Showe: Okay.

Mr. Boermans: I just wanted to make a note. So, now we transferred the HOA to a full residential Board. So, we have now five new members on the HOA Board.

## NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

#### Other Business

There being no comments, the next item followed.

## TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Next Meeting Date - June 4, 2024

Mr. Showe: The next scheduled meeting is June 4, 2024.

## ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. McQuarrie seconded by Ms. Diaz with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

etary/Assistant Secretary

Chairman/Vice Chairman