

MINUTES OF MEETING
STOREY PARK
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Storey Park Community Development District was held on Thursday, April 22, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. at the Offices of GMS-CF, LLC, 219 E. Livingston Street, Orlando, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Rob Bonin	Chairman
Ben Kraljev	Vice Chairman
Andrew Ashby	Assistant Secretary
Susan Kane	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams	GMS
Kristen Trucco	Latham, Luna, Eden & Beaudine, LLP
Christina Baxter (<i>by phone</i>)	Engineer
Alan Scheerer	Field Manager

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order and called the roll. A quorum was present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Ms. Adams: Callers have three minutes to make a statement to the Board of Supervisors. Please state your first and last name and your address for the record. We would appreciate that. If there are any callers who would like to make a public comment, we can take those now.

Mr. Antonio Iskandar, (History Avenue): I have a question. If the answer is affirmative, then there will be another question. We have been paying a lot of attention to the construction of a park in front of our houses on History Avenue. There was some effort last week after several months of waiting for that. So, I'm wondering whether it is under your jurisdiction of the CDD. If that is the case, do you have any information regarding what the next steps are. There have been drainage issues. We are concerned about the impact of that when the rainy season starts and there is also the possibility that kids are playing on that piece of land that is supposed to be a park. So that is my comment and question.

Ms. Adams: Thank you, Antonio. Throughout the meeting today, much of the information you are inquiring about will be discussed. If it is not addressed during the meeting, staff will follow up with you outside of this meeting regarding your concerns.

Mr. Iskandar: Thank you.

Ms. Adams: Is there anyone else who would like to make a comment?

Ms. Lissette Beltran, (Imaginary Way): I want to thank Tricia. She has been a major help and I love that. I was wondering if the Board could follow up on the conversation for issues that are not addressed frequently. A dog station needs to be repaired. I appreciate you working hard on resolving the issues with the ATVs. I just want to go a step above and beyond. I was wondering what else we can do together to stop people from violating the law with their dirt bikes and ATVs, especially children. Also, Lennar owns the two parcels next to the school. The majority of the dirt bikes and ATVs are coming through one of the parcels. I need the CDD to help me, nothing fancy, just keep expenses thin so you can hire police enforcement to monitor those parcels and install signage. That will help a lot. We need to repave on Story Time Drive and Imaginary Way. So, I need signs and my asphalt to be repaved. Thank you. I appreciate your help.

Ms. Adams: Thank you, Lissette. Are there any other public comments? Hearing none, thank you so much.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of the March 25, 2021 Meeting

Ms. Adams: Are there any corrections? If not, we need a motion to approve the minutes.

On MOTION by Mr. Kraljev seconded by Mr. Ashby with all in favor the Minutes of the March 25, 2021 Meeting were approved as presented.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financing Matters

Ms. Adams: We have an assessment hearing scheduled for today. This is the 2021 bond for Assessment Area 4. This has been duly published and noticed for this meeting date. We need a motion to open the assessment hearing.

On MOTION by Mr. Kraljev seconded by Ms. Kane with all in favor the public hearing for Assessment Area 4 was opened.

A. Consideration of Engineer's Report

Ms. Adams: Ms. Baxter is on the phone to discuss the Engineer's Report that was included in the electronic agenda package. There have been no substantive changes since the Board previously reviewed this Engineer's Report.

Ms. Baxter: Good evening everyone, the Engineer's Report includes the addition of Parcel K, Phases 1 and 2, what we call Areas 10 and 11, which make up Assessment Area 4. The Engineer's Report includes infrastructure, roads, utilities, stormwater elements that are part of Assessment Area 4 as well as the engineer's opinion of costs and the unit distribution. Those all correlate to the Assessment Methodology and other documents that are also presented today. If there are any specific questions on the Engineer's Report, I would be happy to answer those.

Mr. Kraljev: No.

Ms. Kane: No.

Ms. Adams: Thank you, Christy.

B. Consideration of Master Assessment Methodology for Assessment Area Four

Ms. Adams: Included in the agenda package is a copy of the Master Assessment Methodology Report for Assessment Area 4. There is also a Supplemental Assessment Methodology that is tied into the anticipated terms for the bond issuance. There will be another one that is tied into the actual terms of the bonds. There were no substantive changes to the Assessment Methodology from the version the Board reviewed previously. For the record, the tables included in the Assessment Methodology are on Pages 10 through 15. Table 1 identifies the Master Assessment Methodology for Assessment Area 4, including the total number of units, which is 357 units and Equivalent Residential Units (ERUs), which is 281. Table 2 is the Capital Improvement Plan, which is tied into the Engineer's Report that you just reviewed. It defines the eligible improvements. Table 3 identifies additional costs associated with the bond issuance. Table 4 is the allocation of improvement costs per the different product types; townhomes and the four different single-family home options. Table 5 is an allocation of par debt to each product type. Again, this is your Master Assessment Methodology, which is going to be fine-tuned in your Supplemental Assessment Methodology. Table 6 shows the par debt and annual assessment for each product type per unit. There is also a Preliminary Assessment Roll, identifying the property ID that would be associated with the Master Assessment Methodology and the Assessment Roll. Are there any questions? Hearing none,

C. Public Comment and Testimony

Ms. Adams: We will now open the assessment hearing for public comment. Are there any callers on the line who would like to make a comment regarding Assessment Area 4? For the current residents of Storey Park, I will let you know that this does not have an impact on you. This is for newer phases of development that are coming on, but you are more than welcome, if you have a comment regarding the assessment hearing, to provide comments.

Ms. Beltran: I would like for the District to improve the crosswalk.

Ms. Adams: That is not part of the assessment hearing. This is tied into the bond issuance or the capital improvement spending. It is not regarding any improvements on Dowden Road.

Ms. Trucco: This is for a future phase, known as Parcel K or Assessment Area 4 only.

Ms. Lissette Beltran: Will there be crosswalks in the new parcels?

Mr. Scheerer: There are crosswalks in the community.

Ms. Adams: I don't know if any crosswalks are designed Parcels, K-1 and K-2, but I can coordinate with the District Engineer and get back to you.

Ms. Beltran: Thank you.

Ms. Baxter: Crosswalks are in the Engineering Plan permitted through the City of Orlando as required by DOT and the City Code.

Ms. Adams: Thank you, Christy. Are there any other public comments regarding the Engineer's Report, Master Assessment Methodology or anything related to the assessment hearing? Hearing none,

C. Consideration of Resolution 2021-13 Levying Assessments

Ms. Adams: This resolution covers several important areas. Kristen, would you like to present the resolution?

Ms. Trucco: Sure. This resolution approves the levy of assessments in Assessment Area 4, also known as Parcel K that was recently annexed into the District by the county. These assessments will pay for the construction of the Assessment Area 4 project in accordance with the Assessment Methodology Report that is in the agenda as well as the District Engineer's Report. If you have any questions, we can try to answer them now. Otherwise, we are just looking for a motion to adopt this resolution so we can proceed with the bond issuance.

On MOTION by Ms. Kane seconded by Mr. Kraljev with all in favor Resolution 2021-13 Authorizing and Confirming the Assessment Area 4 Project; Equalizing, Approving and Levying Special Assessments on Property Specially Benefitted by Such Assessment Area 4 Project to Pay the Costs Thereof; Providing for the Payment and the Collection of Such Special Assessments by the Method Provided for by Chapters 170, 190 and 197, Florida Statutes; Confirming the District’s Intention to Issue Special Assessment Revenue Bonds; Making Provisions for Transfers of Real Property to Governmental Bodies; Providing for Recording of an Assessment Notice; and Providing for Severability, Conflicts and an Effective Date was adopted.

Ms. Adams: We need a motion to close the assessment hearing.

On MOTION by Ms. Kane seconded by Mr. Kraljev with all in favor the public hearing was closed.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2021-14
Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2022
Budget and Setting a Public Hearing**

Ms. Adams: Included in your agenda package is a draft of the Proposed Budget. This is your first look at the budget. As far as timing, if the Board has enough information to move forward with approving the resolution today, we can move forward with the budget hearing for final adoption in July. This might be a little earlier than what you have done in the past. Alternatively, if the Board needs more time to look at the draft budget and sharpen our pencils in any way, the resolution could be adopted by the Board at your May meeting and have the budget adoption in August. There is no pressure with this first look at the budget if the Board has any questions, because it proposes a fairly significant increase. Going through the budget for the Storey Park CDD, Page 1 has an overview of your General Fund. It shows adopted budget revenues of \$772,838 for the current fiscal year. Whereas for next year, the proposed revenues are \$1.1 Million. So, there is an increase with the revenue and with spending. In going through your *Administrative* expenses, most of the increases are tied to the costs of the new bond issuance. There was an increase in *Trustee Fees, Arbitrage and Dissemination Agent*, due to the number of bond issuances that we have.

Mr. Rob Bonin joined the meeting.

Ms. Adams: For *Field* expenses, there were some additional increases. There is a significant increase in the *Landscape Maintenance – Contract*, based on areas that will be maintained by OmegaScapes.

Mr. Scheerer: Parcel K.

Ms. Adams: Yes. I believe it was within the last year or less that this District bid out *Landscaping Services*. By far, that is most significant cost in your field expenses. There were some savings to the District by bidding it out, but it is a big part of the budget, which is proposed at \$430,160. We also have a significant expense increase in *Streetlights*. You are currently budgeted at \$160,000, but with the additional streetlights, your proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2022 is \$241,000. Those are the two biggest increases. We added some funding for *Pressure Washing*. Under *Repairs & Maintenance*, there is a line item for *Enhanced Traffic Enforcement*. As the Board is aware, traffic calming and deterring the use of illegal vehicles is a significant priority for residents in this District. The District owns the roads, so they are public roads. Traffic enforcement is the responsibility of the Orlando Police Department. They have been doing routine patrols and responding to calls. What we are proposing is enhanced traffic enforcement three days per week in four-hour shifts. If the Board wants to approve that expense, the annual amount would be \$39,500. That would be a new item. Another significant expense is *Capital Outlay*. The way that we set up this budget, there is detail for *Capital Outlay* on Page 12. We received some feedback regarding the installation of fencing where Satire Street terminates to the undeveloped area and around the retention pond. The developer installed split rail fencing up to the bike path. The District solicited for proposals to continue that fencing. Right now, the Operations Manager is identifying that area. Ben knows exactly where we are talking about. It's an expensive item and a discretionary item. The fence and painting would be about 1,800 linear feet and the expense would be around \$52,000. We are proposing that as a one-time capital outlay. Other items in your *Capital Outlay* including No Parking signage, which is needed for some of the areas that are currently developed. We considered additional traffic calming measures such as a radar display, which was \$26,000. Ultimately we pulled that out believing that the most effective traffic calming was the dynamic presence of police in the community. That will provide more traffic calming than self-monitoring devices. So, we pulled out the radar display and additional painting of speed limit signage or speed limit markings on the roads. With the proposed increase in the budget, which we will walk through, a mailed notice is

required identifying the date that the budget will be adopted. The amount in the notice is a cap amount. You can ultimately adopt a budget that is under that proposed amount, but you can't go over that amount once it's noticed. We are trying to balance it. We want to make sure that the items are covered, but at the same time if we want to sharpen our pencils and reduce the proposed increase, we have an opportunity to do so. Ultimately, the *Capital Outlay*, can be designated as a Capital Reserve Fund. So, it's probably a good idea to start identifying these capital expenses and get the residents accustomed to having money designated for capital because it will be helpful for the community down the road.

Ms. Trucco: This resolution approves a public hearing on this budget for July. Members of the public can provide any feedback.

Ms. Adams: Board Members, I'm not sure how much time you spent reviewing the budget, but I want to draw your attention to Page 5. The table on the bottom should say, "*Summary of Proposed Increase.*" The first set of numbers is the per unit proposed increase. For example, the current operation and maintenance (O&M) for the townhomes is \$272. With this Proposed Budget, it would be \$340 or an increase of \$68 per unit. You can see the increase for the different product types. I will also note that the landscape, streetlights and stormwater were anticipated expenses for all of Assessment Areas 4 and 5. Not all of those expenses will be realized the entire fiscal year.

Mr. Bonin: For *Capital Outlay*, was depreciation taken into account?

Ms. Adams: O&M is classified as an expense to maintain what's there, whereas *Capital Outlay* would be one-time expenses or capital purchases.

Mr. Bonin: You don't know how depreciation is handled?

Ms. Adams: I can find out for you.

Ms. Trucco: Good question.

Mr. Bonin: I would like to say that GMS has done a good job identifying the hot topics and providing proposed solutions. Then there is a question to what extent the community will accept the expense associated with it.

Ms. Adams: Correct. In your resolution, we are proposing July 22, 2021 as the public hearing. We are anticipating with the mailed notice, we will generate interest from residents who want to attend the meeting. This room is not an appropriate location for that. We reached out to the Innovation School in nearby Storey Park to see if we can hold the public hearing there.

We have not yet received confirmation. In the past, when we looked into meeting at Innovation School, they require a later meeting time, 5:00 p.m. or 6:00 p.m. because of their school day. We don't know if that will be case on July 22. The Recording Secretary reached out to them three times and has not heard back. The notice is likely to have the meeting location of Innovation School and the meeting may be scheduled later that day. So, I wanted to get feedback from the Board.

Mr. Bonin: I think we heard enough from the community about meeting during business hours. So, I am personally fine with having the public hearing after hours so we have more participation.

Ms. Kane: I'm fine with that.

Ms. Adams: That's good, because that gives us flexibility with the school if they are restricted about not starting the meeting at 4:00 p.m. If there is no further discussion and the Board is comfortable with capping the assessment at the proposed amount, it would be appropriate to make a motion to approve Resolution 2021-14. At the budget hearing, if the Board wanted to reduce the O&M expenses, you have an opportunity to do so.

Mr. Bonin: Is what is proposed appropriate and paying the big-ticket items over a two-year period. I suspect that we won't have a significant increase, so do you want to approve the full amount or pare it down?

Ms. Adams: No. I think you encapsulated the issues and concerns. If you assess a higher amount, it will generate more interest. If there's an intention to cut back on that, then why not do that before the notice goes out versus cutting it at the budget hearing. So, if there are some discretionary expenses that the Board would like to see cut from the budget, this is an opportunity to do so. Alternatively, if you want to get resident participation, feedback and additional comments at the budget hearing, there is an opportunity to do so. It is actually a policy issue based on the Board's comfort level.

Mr. Bonin: What happens at the next two meetings? Is there anything to discuss budget-wise?

Ms. Adams: That's up to the Board. If the Board would like to look at the budget at each meeting, they have an opportunity to do so. No action is required by the Board at this point and I'm not anticipating many items on your May agenda, as we are taking care of some very important matters today with the budget and your assessment hearing.

Ms. Trucco: The public would have an opportunity to call in during the public comment period at the beginning of the meeting to voice their concerns about the budget before and at the budget hearing. If the Board would like to discuss the budget at upcoming meetings, that's completely at the pleasure of the Board.

Mr. Kraljev: I think everything we have in front of us is appropriate. We still have time to get feedback and have workshops to discuss the budget between now and July to change or tweak anything if that's what we see fit.

Ms. Adams: I think you inferred that you were asking for feedback from District Management Staff. I don't know if there is going to be a substantive difference in the response if it is a 22% or 23% or 24% increase.

Mr. Ashby: My problem is with the traffic calming, paying the police to come in more. It would be more effective, but the other thing to think about is a potentially permanent expense annually from now on versus having additional signage or sensors that you pay for one-time. There's minimal maintenance from then on. The concern that I would have is the ongoing expense of it.

Ms. Kane: It is just for one year, correct? So, in 12 months, we could discuss this again. The presence of police may have an impact or just an initial shock.

Ms. Adams: Andrew, that is a good point that some of the items are one-time expenses and they would receive benefit for multiple years, whereas the police presence, you have to determine how long that is for. Many Districts that budget enhanced traffic enforcement, has this as an annual appropriation. Right now, we have a situation where there's been a greater need for traffic enforcement. In the future, there may be more or less need. We just don't know. Is there any further discussion? Otherwise, is the Board ready to approve Resolution 2021-14 and we will fine tune the hour and location for the public hearing, but schedule it for the July 22, 2021 meeting?

Mr. Kraljev temporarily left the meeting.

On MOTION by Ms. Kane seconded by Mr. Ashby with all in favor Resolution 2021-14 Approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2019 Budget and Setting a Public Hearing for July 22, 2020, subject to verifying the time and location was adopted. (Motion Passed 3-0)

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Ratification of Proposal from Fausnight Stripe & Line for Installation of No Parking Signs

Ms. Adams: As the Board is aware, newer phases of the community have been developed including Phase I-5. There were quite a few situations where we needed to put in No Parking signs so we can enforce the No Parking zones and bring some order into that area to encourage the free flow traffic. This will impact your current budget, as the budget will be over expenses in signage because of these 49 No Parking signs. The signage is required per Statute in order for the District to be able to engage towing enforcement and in accordance with the engineering No Parking plans that were approved by the City of Orlando. So, if there are no further questions or discussion, we need a motion to ratify the proposal.

On MOTION by Ms. Kane seconded by Mr. Ashby with all in favor the proposal from Fausnight Stripe & Line for 49 No Parking Signs for Phase I-5 in the amount of \$8,844.50 was ratified. (Motion Passed 3-0)

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Ms. Trucco: We have nothing to report to the Board, today.

B. Engineer

Ms. Adams: Christy, do you have anything further to report to the Board?

Ms. Baxter: I don't have anything further. Thank you.

C. District Manager's Report

i. Approval of Check Register

Ms. Adams: You have the Check Register from March 18, 2021 through April 15, 2021 in the amount of \$57,906.35. The detail is behind the summary. I would be happy to answer any questions. Otherwise, we would be seeking a motion to approve it.

On MOTION by Mr. Ashby seconded by Ms. Kane with all in favor the March 18, 2021 through April 15, 2021 Check Run Summary in the amount of \$57,906.35 was approved. (Motion Passed 3-0)

ii. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Ms. Adams: You also have the Unaudited Financials through March 31, 2021. It includes the Balance Sheet and Income Statement as well as the spending per date for your General Fund. It also has information regarding your Debt Service Funds and associated capital projects. Are there any questions? No Board action is required. It was provided for informational purposes.

- **Traffic Enforcement** (*Added*)

I want to bring up a couple of items. One item that we have been keeping the Board informed about is traffic calming. Since the last meeting, there have been 32 traffic stops. The Orlando Police Department has been responsive to requests and situations as well as routine patrols. We are very happy with their response and want to commend them for their presence in the community. We also want to bring to the Board's attention that there is a silt fence that we would like to remove. The silt fence was installed by the HOA with the hopes that it would deter dirt bikes from crossing Wewahootee Road into the bike and pedestrian paths. The HOA no longer maintains that fence and it is often on the ground. It is a maintenance challenge. It is also not aesthetically pleasing. It was installed with the concept of deterring dirt bikes, but it's not doing that, so it's a maintenance and aesthetic issue.

Mr. Bonin: What is the path of the dirt bikes? Where do they start and where do they try to get to?

Ms. Adams: Some originate in the community.

Mr. Bonin: What was the fence trying to keep out?

Mr. Scheerer: Wewahootee Road runs into Moss Park in both directions. People are riding their dirt bikes there and the undeveloped portion of Storey Park Boulevard from Story Time Drive east. That is another area. We have a retention pond. They were accessing the asphalt bike trail from Wewahootee Road, so, the HOA installed this silt fence in the hopes of deterring them from going up there, but it's on the ground.

Mr. Bonin: Is the silt fence between the curb, asphalt and the trail?

Mr. Scheerer: It's right on the edge of the asphalt trail.

Mr. Bonin: On the street side?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes.

Mr. Bonin: How wide is the asphalt trail?

Mr. Scheerer: It is probably 6 feet wide.

Mr. Bonin: Are they driving their motorcycles on that trail?

Mr. Scheerer: From time to time, they have been, which is why the request from the residents was to add a split rail fence and continue that to the aluminum pedestrian bridge.

Mr. Ashby: Which side of the trail is this fence on?

Mr. Scheerer: On the Wewahootee side.

Mr. Ashby: Is there a gate?

Mr. Scheerer: No, there is no gate.

Mr. Ashby: If this is the trail and the fence is here, at some point the fence crosses over it.

Mr. Scheerer: No, it does not. The trail goes all the way around.

Mr. Ashby: So, the fence just stays on this side of the trail?

Mr. Scheerer: Yes, but it stops here so people can still cut in this way to get to it if they want to. It is an added expense because when it tears and rips, we end up having to buy more.

Mr. Bonin: It makes sense to do that.

Ms. Adams: It doesn't provide any benefit. I just wanted to get consensus from the Board. It doesn't require any Board action. I just wanted input that you were in agreement that the silt fence was not effective and should be removed.

- **Field Management Report** (*Added*)

Ms. Adams: We had some field updates. Last month, we reported that the dog park would be closed for a month for maintenance starting on April 1st.

Mr. Scheerer: We are moving right along with the dog park. We installed new sod in the areas where holes were dug. It worn out badly. As you know, it's Bahia grass, which goes dormant in the wintertime, even though it's irrigated. We ran across some challenges. It is a very popular park. All of the apparatuses were functioning. The fountain was down, but we got it up and running within a few days. I don't know if there were some leftover particles, but we ordered a new diaphragm. What happens is there is a bowl on the bottom. You push the button, the water comes out and fills the bowl. Then it slowly drains out. Now it won't stop letting the water in, so, we currently have it shut off. The part will be in on Monday and we will install it on Tuesday. One of the other questions that was asked of us was whether there are gaps on the bottom of the split rail fence, as smaller dogs are getting out. There are gaps, so we are putting some mesh under there with additional mulch. That will all be done. We are letting the grass

grow as much as possible. It's already been treated and fertilized. F&P was out treating for fleas, ticks and other pesticides. We will re-evaluate that, but I think we are in good shape and ready to go. So, all things considered, I think a month off is a good approach. It will probably be ongoing and obviously we will make minor repairs throughout the dry season, but now that we are getting rain and temperatures are increasing, the grass is starting to grow. I think it's going to look really good.

Mr. Kraljev returned to the meeting.

Ms. Adams: Mr. Kraljev, the Board took action on Resolution 2021-14, approving the Proposed Fiscal Year 2022 Budget and setting the public hearing, subject to verifying the location and time. Are you in favor?

Mr. Kraljev: Yes.

Ms. Adams: The Board also took action on ratifying the No Parking signs for Parcel I-5. Are you in favor?

Mr. Kraljev: Yes.

Ms. Adams: We had some residents who called in and made public comments at the beginning of the meeting regarding park construction nearby History Avenue causing drainage issues and some other miscellaneous questions. Would the Board like for staff to answer those?

Mr. Bonin: I would like to address those. Regarding to the drainage, we received notice of year end turnover to Orange County, so we went ahead and cleaned the storm pipes last week. That is why two or three weeks ago, there was some flooding in the area. We completely cleaned those lines and took additional intermediary steps to grade up the inlets that were problematic for us. They are all clear, I believe because this last weekend we had no flooding issues out there. So, I am not anticipating that is going to be a problem going forward. Regarding the current status, revised engineering plans were submitted to Orange County. The engineers revised the grades of that park and that is why there was a delay in construction and completing it. It will have to be permitted to bring up the inlet to the new grade. Then we can complete the rest of the park. So that is the current status.

Ms. Adams: What about the park construction on History Avenue?

Mr. Scheerer: That is the cell tower track.

Ms. Adams: There was a question about a dog station. I believe it's an HOA dog station. Ms. Lissette Beltran was inquiring about it. She forwarded to the District, a dog station that

needs to be repaired. That is an HOA owned area. She inquired about deterring dirt bikes and ATVs. For the Board’s information, you have recently taken action to adopt Amenity Policies that prohibit dirt bikes. We received a video of a resident who has a YouTube Channel, building a dirt bike track on CDD stormwater property. We are in the process of communicating with him regarding progressive discipline up to suspension from the amenities. If he is on District property after being suspended, he would be subject to being trespassed and arrested. So, there is progressive discipline taking place in areas where we can enforce.

Mr. Bonin: Do we need to clarify for the record that the property between Lennar and the school is not owned by Lennar?

Ms. Adams: That would be appropriate. The last question was fencing around that parcel.

Mr. Bonin: We have no right to go onto someone else’s property. We can only be on our property.

Ms. Adams: Thank you.

Mr. Ashby: It looks like “No man’s land.” It is a slither of land about 300 feet wide. Moss Park Properties owns that sliver of land.

Ms. Adams: Thank for clarifying that for the record.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor’s Request

Ms. Adams: Are there any Supervisor’s Requests or other business? If not, we need a motion to adjourn.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Mr. Kraljev seconded by Mr. Ashby with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.



Secretary/Assistant Secretary



Chairman/Vice Chairman